# Case 5:14-mj-70350-MRGD Document 6 Filed 03/18/14 Page 1 of 1

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

Case Number 14-mj-70350 PSG

MISAEL HERRERA-LOPEZ, Defendant.

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 18, 2014. Defendant was present, represented by his attorney Varell Fuller, AFPD. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Philip Kopczynski.

### PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE

/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment, whichever is later.

This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

- / / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
  - for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § A. 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR
  - under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony. B.

This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

No presumption applies.

## PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE

- / / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore will be ordered detained.
  - / / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .

Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.

### PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)

- />The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR
- / / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

### PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

- / / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at the hearing and finds as follows:
  - Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings.

#### PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the MAR I 8-2842 ZOILY
WINKING E.R. defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceedir

Dated: 3 18.14

United States Magistrate Judge

AUSA , ATTY \_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_